

WEST NORTHAMPTONSHIRE COUNCIL CABINET

12 OCTOBER 2021

**PORTFOLIO HOLDER FOR PLANNING, BUILT ENVIRONMENT AND RURAL
AFFAIRS: REBECCA BREESE**

Report Title	Overstone Neighbourhood Development Plan
Report Author	Jane Parry, Senior Policy Officer (Planning), jane.parry@westnorthants.gov.uk

Contributors/Checkers/Approvers

West MO (for West and joint papers)	Catherine Whitehead	Emailed 14 September 2021
West S151 (for West and joint papers)	Martin Henry	17 September 2021
Legal	Theresa Boyd	20 September 2021
Finance	Antony Russell (Senior Finance Business Partner)	17 September 2021
ELT	Stuart Timmiss	Emailed 15 September 2021
Communications	Craig Forsyth	21 September 2021
Cabinet Member	CLlr Rebecca Breese	Emailed 15 September 2021

List of Appendices

Appendix 1 - Proposed Decision Statement including Table A, Examiner's recommended modifications and further editorial changes to the Overstone Neighbourhood Development Plan and actions to be taken

Appendix 2 - Responses received to the proposed deletion of important view V6

1. Purpose of Report

- 1.1. For members to consider the recommendations of the independent Examiner following the examination of the Overstone Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP), to consider the

representations received in respect of the additional post-examination consultation on deletion of important view V6 and to seek approval to put the plan to referendum.

2. Executive Summary

- 2.1 The report summarises the process which has been followed to produce the Overstone Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP) and presents the outcomes of the independent examination, including the Examiner's recommendations. It recommends that the plan, with suggested recommendations and a further change which has been subject to consultation, proceeds to referendum.

3. Recommendations

- 3.1 It is recommended that the council:

- a) Notes and welcomes the significant progress in making the neighbourhood development plan (NDP) by the Overstone community
- b) Agrees the Examiner's recommended modifications in respect of the Overstone NDP with the exception of the recommendation relating to important view V6
- c) Considers the responses to the consultation on proposed deletion of important view V6 and confirms deletion of the view
- d) Agrees the Examiner's recommendation that the NDP, as modified in accordance with recommendation b) and c), should proceed to a referendum of voters within Overstone Parish
- e) Approves the proposed decision statement set out in appendix 1, subject to recommendations b) and d)
- f) Agrees that delegated authority be given to the Interim Head of Planning and Climate Change Policy to make further minor editorial changes to the NDP to address any factual and typographical errors and to reflect the fact that the document will be in its intended final form
- g) Agrees that the costs of the referendum be met from the earmarked reserve for neighbourhood planning.

4. Reason for Recommendations

- 4.1 In order for a NDP to be "made" (adopted) the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 ((SI 2012 No. 637) require the council to make a decision on the Examiner's recommendations before agreeing to send it to referendum. In this case, the council is required to decide whether it is appropriate to make a different decision to the examiner in respect of one issue.

5. Report Background

- 5.1 The Localism Act 2011 introduced three types of neighbourhood planning. This includes a NDP, which is a plan making power allowing local communities to shape development in their area, and neighbourhood development orders which are a mechanism for granting planning

permission. When “made” (or adopted), NDPs will form part of the development plan alongside the council’s Part 1 and Part 2 Local Plans.

- 5.2 The Neighbourhood Planning Regulations 2012 set out the statutory process a qualifying body (QB, in other words a parish council, town council or forum) must follow when preparing a NDP or order, following designation of a neighbourhood area.
- 5.3 A draft NDP is drawn up and consulted on by the QB and then submitted to the local planning authority for further formal consultation. This is followed by an examination undertaken by an independent Examiner, who makes recommendations. The recommendations can be that the plan should proceed to referendum unchanged, that it should not proceed to referendum or the usual course of action, that it should proceed to referendum with certain modifications. The local planning authority (West Northamptonshire Council) has to consider whether to accept the Examiner’s recommendations. In doing so, the council has to decide if, with the proposed modifications, the plan would meet the basic conditions and would not contravene convention rights or European Union obligations. The Examiner will also recommend, and WNC will decide, what area should be used for the referendum if one is held; this may be larger than the neighbourhood area if the impacts are important to a wider area.
- 5.4 There would need to be a good reason not to accept the Examiner’s recommendations and the greater divergence of WNC’s decision from the recommendations the stronger the justification would need to be.
- 5.5 If a NDP proceeds to referendum, and it is approved by a majority of those voting, the council has a duty to “make” the plan, at which point it becomes part of the statutory development plan for the council when deciding planning applications unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The council must then publish a decision statement explaining what it has done.

6. Issues and Choices

6.1 Plan preparation

- 6.2 There have been three versions of the neighbourhood area. On 15 April 2014 Overstone Parish Council (OPC) as the QB, applied for the southern part of the parish surrounding Overstone village (excluding the area allocated as the Northampton North Sustainable Urban Extension (SUE) and the area to the north, beyond the SUE), to be designated as a neighbourhood area. This was approved on 4 September 2014.
- 6.3 On 18 July 2017 OPC applied to amend the neighbourhood area to include the area to the north of the SUE; this was approved on 12 October 2017. The current neighbourhood area which covers the entire Overstone Parish, including the SUE and area to the north, was subject to an application by OPC on 27 July 2020 and was approved on 31 July 2020.
- 6.4 A draft NDP was published by OPC for public consultation under Regulation 14 between 19 October 2020 and 1 December 2020. Following submission of the Overstone NDP to Daventry District Council on 20 January 2021 the plan was published for formal Regulation 16 consultation. The consultation period ran from 1 February 2021 to 22 March 2021. With the

agreement of OPC, the council appointed an independent Examiner, Andrew Ashcroft BA (Hons) MA, DMS, MRTPI, to review whether the plan met the basic conditions (see below) and should proceed to referendum.

- 6.5 NDPs are tested to ensure they meet the “basic conditions” set out in paragraph 8(2) of Schedule B of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990:
- Having regard to national policies and advice contained in guidance issued by the Secretary of State it is appropriate to make the neighbourhood plan
 - The making of the neighbourhood plan contributes to the achievement of sustainable development
 - The making of the neighbourhood plan is in general conformity with the strategic policies contained in the development plan for the area of the authority (or any part of that area)
 - The making of the neighbourhood plan does not breach, and is otherwise compatible with, EU obligations
 - The making of the neighbourhood development plan is not likely to have a significant effect on a European site (as defined in the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010) or a European offshore marine site (as defined in the Offshore Marine Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 2007) (either alone or in combination with other plans or projects)

6.6 Examination outcomes

6.7 The Examiner’s report on the NDP was received in July 2021 and has been published on the council’s website. In his report, the Examiner concludes that, subject to a number of modifications, the plan has met all the legal requirements and should proceed to referendum. He noted that the NDP has been underpinned by community support and engagement.

6.8 A schedule of the Examiner’s recommendations is set out in table 1 to the proposed decision statement, which is appendix 1 of this report. The main changes recommended by the Examiner are:

- Amending policies 1 Promoting Sustainable Transport, 2 Adapting to Climate Change, 3 Provision of Community Infrastructure, 6 Residential Development and Policy 9 Landscape and Sensitive Views, to ensure that they are applied proportionately to development according to its scale and nature
- Amending policy 3 Provision of Community Infrastructure to secure a direct connection between the five priority community projects listed in the policy with developer contributions
- Amending policy 4 Local Services and Community Facilities so that it will apply to new, as well as existing services and facilities, and to clarify that it would also apply to proposals that would detract from attractiveness and accessibility in addition to loss
- Amending policy 5 Village Confines to clarify connection with strategic policies and that the need for mitigation measures would be subject to practicality
- Deletion of policy 8 Local Open Space and the two proposed local open spaces because they are in private ownership with restricted public access

- Amending policy 9 Landscape and Sensitive Views to more explicitly identify important views, including mitigation measures, and provide clarity for proposals that do not comply
- Amending policy 10 Protection of Heritage Assets to provide additional detail on how proposals affecting archaeological remains will be expected to respond

6.9 The Examiner stated in his report that it would be appropriate for the council and QB to be able to make consequential changes to general text as a result of his recommended modifications and to accommodate other administrative matters. The recommendations made by the Examiner, the reasons for them and what action is proposed in response to each of these is set out in Table 1 to the proposed Decision Statement. The changes proposed by the council to correct errors and make factual updates are marked as “accuracy changes” in table 1. It is considered that the recommended modifications (including accuracy changes) should be approved to ensure that the NDP meets the basic conditions. The revised NDP should then proceed to referendum in the Overstone neighbourhood area (Overstone Parish) to determine if local people support it.

6.10 Post-examination consultation on proposed deletion of important view V6

6.11 The council is required to decide what action to take in response to each of the Examiner’s recommendations, if it proposes to make a decision which is different from that recommended by the Examiner, further targeted consultation must be undertaken for a period of six weeks.

6.12 The council is proposing to make a different decision in respect of important view V6 which is identified under policy 9 Landscape and sensitive views as “V6 views from the track straddling Court Farm”. The reason for the council proposing a different decision is based on the Examiner accepting representations in respect of the same track being a private access track and therefore not appropriate for identification as a local open space under policy 8 Local Open Space. He recommended deletion of LOS 2 for this reason but did not recommend deletion of important view V6 which is obtained a significant way down the private track. In order to acknowledge that the view cannot be an important one because it is on a private track, the council proposes to delete V6 from the neighbourhood plan.

6.13 The council duly notified and invited representations from three specific categories of people or groups specified in the Regulations: the QB; anyone whose representation was submitted to the Examiner (respondents to the Regulation 16 consultation); and any consultation body that was previously consulted. It was made clear that the consultation was limited to the proposed deletion of important view V6 and no other matter. Details were published on the council’s website.

6.14 The consultation period lasted from 23 July to 6 September 2021. One person responded to the consultation and his response is set out in appendix 2. The respondent indicated support for deletion of V6.

6.15 Decision making process

6.16 The council is required to issue its final decision on the NDP within five weeks of the post-examination consultation closing, unless the council and QB agree a different date. In the case of the Overstone NDP, the consultation on deletion of V6 closed on 6 September, meaning that a decision would have to be issued by 12 October unless a different date is agreed. Agreement was sought from the QB (OPC) to an extension of time to allow this decision to be made at Cabinet on 12 October 2021 with the decision issued on the 13 October. OPC agreed to this at their meeting on 13 July 2021.

6.17 Referendum

6.18 The referendum should be carried out for Overstone Parish. This is recommended by the Examiner and there are no reasons to differ from his recommendation. As set out in the proposed decision statement the date for the referendum is provisionally set for 2 December 2021. Prior to the referendum the suggested changes would be made to the NDP for it to be published as one of the specified documents in respect of the referendum.

6.19 The referendum would follow a similar format to an election. All those registered to vote within the neighbourhood area would be given the opportunity to vote. Voters would be given a ballot paper with the question (the wording of which is specified in the regulations) "Do you want West Northamptonshire Council to use the Neighbourhood Plan for Overstone to help it decide planning applications in the neighbourhood area?". Voters would be given the opportunity to vote "yes" or "no".

6.20 There is no minimum turnout for a referendum to be valid.

6.21 Making the Plan

6.22 If more than 50 per cent of those voting in the referendum vote "yes" then the council is required to "make" the plan. If the referendum is unsuccessful then the council takes no further action and OPC would have to decide what it wished to do.

7. Implications (including financial implications)

7.1 Resources and Financial

7.1.1 The council is required to fund the examination and referendum. It is not expected that the cost of the referendum will exceed £3,600. The council receives some financial support from the government to support neighbourhood planning, which is paid into an earmarked reserve.

7.2 Legal

7.2.1 In accordance with the Neighbourhood Planning Regulations (Regulation 17A) the council is required to consider the report of the independent Examiner and decide what actions to take in response to each recommendation. It must also decide what modifications to make, whether to

extend the area to which the referendum is to take place, to take the plan to referendum and to make the plan if there is a successful vote.

7.3 **Risk**

7.3.1 There are no significant risks arising from the proposed recommendations in this report.

7.4 **Consultation**

7.4.1 A formal post-examination consultation was undertaken in respect of the council's proposed decision to delete important view V6. Representations received in respect of the consultation have informed the recommendation and proposed decision statement.

7.5 **Consideration by Overview and Scrutiny**

7.5.1 Not applicable.

7.6 **Climate Impact**

7.6.1 The Overstone NDP contains a policy on climate change (policy 2 Adapting to climate change). This requires development to seek to achieve high standards of sustainable design, layout and construction in a way proportionate to the scale of the proposal.

7.7 **Community Impact**

7.7.1 The Overstone NDP has been subject to formal and informal consultation in accordance with the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012. A consultation statement was produced by the QB which sets out the consultation and engagement activity undertaken, what comments were received and how the plan responded to these.

8. **Background Papers**

8.1 Report of the independent Examiner into the Overstone Neighbourhood Development Plan, July 2021.

Appendix 1 – Proposed Decision Statement

Overstone Neighbourhood Development Plan Decision Statement

Regulation 18 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 (as amended)

1. Summary

- 1.1 Following an independent examination, West Northamptonshire Council (the “council”) now confirms that the Overstone Neighbourhood Development Plan will proceed to a neighbourhood planning referendum.
- 1.2 This decision statement and copies of the Overstone Neighbourhood Development Plan and its supporting documentation, including the Examiner’s report are available to view on the council’s website at:

West Northamptonshire Council – Submitted Neighbourhood Plans Daventry Area

- 1.3 Hard copies of this decision statement and the modified version of the Neighbourhood Plan are available for inspection at the following locations during normal opening hours:
 - West Northamptonshire Council, Daventry Area Offices, Lodge Road, Daventry, NN11 4FP
 - West Northamptonshire Council, Northampton Area Offices, St Giles’ Square, Northampton, NN1 1DE
 - Overstone Village Stores and Post Office, 66 Sywell Road, Overstone, NN6 0AN

2. Background

- 2.1 Overstone Parish Council, as the qualifying body, applied for the southern part of Overstone Parish to be designated as a neighbourhood area on 15 April 2014. The council designated this as a neighbourhood area on 4 September 2014. On 18 July 2017 Overstone Parish Council applied to extend the neighbourhood area to include the area north of the SUE; this was approved on 12 October 2017. On 27 July 2020 Overstone Parish Council applied to add the SUE to the neighbourhood area, so that it now covered the entire parish area. This was approved on 31 July 2020; the neighbourhood area is now contiguous with the Overstone parish boundary.
- 2.2 The draft Neighbourhood Development Plan was published by Overstone Parish Council for public consultation on 19 October 2020 and closed on 1 December 2020.
- 2.3 Following submission of the Overstone Neighbourhood Development plan to the council on 20 January 2021, the plan was published for consultation by the council. The consultation period ran from 1 February 2021 to 22 March 2021.

- 2.4 Following the submission consultation, the council, with the agreement of the parish council, appointed an independent Examiner, Andrew Ashcroft BA (Hons) MA, DMS, MRTPI, to review whether the plan met the basic conditions required by the legislation and should proceed to a referendum.
- 2.5 Following the examination, the Examiner's report was completed in July 2021 and made available on the council's website. The report concludes that subject to the making of the modifications recommended in his report the plan meets the basic conditions set out in legislation and should proceed to a referendum.
- 2.6 The council proposed to make a decision that was different from that recommended by the Examiner in respect of important view V6, which it proposed to delete. A post-examination consultation was undertaken on this specific issue which ran from 23 July 2021 to 6 September 2021.

3. Decision and Reasons

- 3.1 The council has made the modifications proposed by the Examiner, with the exception of important view V6 which has been deleted, to ensure that the plan meets the basic conditions. Table 1 below sets out these modifications and the action to be taken in respect of each of them. Depending on the recommended change, these are illustrated differently in the Decision Statement and set out below. All deletions will also be shown with a ~~strikethrough~~.
- Modifications of wording by the Examiner are shown as underlined or ~~strikethrough for deletions~~.
 - Where the Examiner has not recommended specific wording and the council has had to interpret the recommendation and identify specific wording this is double underlined or double ~~strikethrough for deletions~~. This includes accuracy changes.
- 3.2 The council has considered whether to extend the area in which the referendum is to take place and agrees with the Examiner that there is no reason to extend the referendum area beyond the Overstone Neighbourhood Area.
- 3.3 The Examiner has concluded that with the specified modifications the Overstone Neighbourhood Development Plan meets the basic conditions and other relevant legal requirements. The council concurs with this view, with the addition of the deletion of important view V6.
- 3.4 To meet the requirements of the Localism Act 2011, a referendum will be held which poses the question; 'Do you want West Northamptonshire Council to use the Neighbourhood Plan for Overstone to help it decide planning applications in the neighbourhood area?'
- 3.5 The referendum will take place on 2 December 2021 and will be held at Overstone Village Hall.

Table 1 Examiner's Recommended Modifications and further editorial changes to the Overstone Neighbourhood Development Plan and actions to be taken (set out in plan order)

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
1	Front cover	N/A	Submission <u>Referendum</u> Version	To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date.	Make the suggested minor modification.
2	p3, Foreword	N/A	This plan is the formal submission <u>referendum</u> version which has been amended following examination in <u>summer 2021</u> . in response to comments received during the formal consultation (Regulation 14) held in November 2020. This version of the plan was subsequently submitted to Daventry District Council in January 2021, as per Regulation 16.	To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date.	Make the suggested minor modification.
3	p4, para 1.1.1	N/A	In September 2013 Overstone Parish Council secured funding of £7,000 from the Community	To correct a grammatical error.	Make the suggested minor modification.

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
			Development Foundation to assist with the completion of an <u>ONDP</u> . <u>a NDP</u> .		
4	p4, para 1.1.3	N/A	The previous designated area dated 12 th October 2017 excluded a large area of the Parish allocated as part of the <u>Northampton North Northamptonshire</u> Sustainable Urban Extension (NNSUE), which in turn is split into two areas known as Overstone Leys and Overstone Green.	To correct a grammatical error.	Make the suggested minor modification.
5	p5, para 1.1.8	N/A	An ONDP <u>A NDP</u> describes the area it serves and lists the changes, developments and improvements that local residents, community, and voluntary groups and service providers would like to see.	To correct a grammatical error.	Make the suggested minor modification.
6	p7, para 1.2.1	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving Community Services_; • Jobs and the local economy_; 	To correct a grammatical error.	Make the suggested minor modification.

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
7	p7, para 1.3.2	N/A	<p>This is the formal submission <u>referendum</u> version of the NDP which has been submitted to the Local Planning Authority for a further round of formal consultation (Regulation 16) and <u>has been updated following the independent examination. Depending upon the outcome of the examination the NDP</u> It will be subject to a referendum, where it must receive a 'yes' from at least 50% of the <u>registered voters</u> in order to be 'made' for Daventry District <u>West Northamptonshire Council</u>.</p>	To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date.	Make the suggested minor modification.
8	p7, para 1.3.4	N/A	<p>The ONDP has been prepared for the period to 2029 in accordance with the WNJCS. The policies in the ONDP should be read as a whole, alongside the strategic policies of the WNJCS and the strategic policies of the 'Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (part 2) for Daventry District. Planning</p>	To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date.	Make the suggested minor modification.

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
			<p>applications will still be determined by Daventry District <u>West Northamptonshire Council</u>. However, the production of the ONDP gives local people the power to decide where new housing, infrastructure and community facilities should go within the Parish. The ONDP will provide an important part of the policy framework for Daventry District <u>West Northamptonshire Council</u> to make these decisions. Once adopted, the ONDP will become a formal part of the District's Development Plan.</p>		
9	p9, para 1.5.4	N/A	d) An analysis of how the proposals have responded to local people's views.	To correct a grammatical error.	Make the suggested minor modification.
10	p9, para 1.5.5	N/A	<p>This submission copy of the ONDP has been revised and produced as a result of comments submitted from the community of Overstone, consultation bodies and potential developers of sites around the village, during a</p>	To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date.	Make the suggested minor modification.

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
			formal 6 week public consultation period as per Regulation 14.		
11	p11, para 2.1.11	N/A	Overstone is currently undergoing another change, with the development of the Northampton North Sustainable Urban Expansion (NNSUE), which forms part of the Northampton Related Development Area (NRDA), with an addition of 3,500 <u>3,600</u> houses over the next 10 years.	To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date.	Make the suggested minor modification.
12	P13, para 2.4.2	N/A	Known as the Northampton North Sustainable Urban Extension (NNSUE) this development will introduce up to 3,500 <u>3,600</u> new dwellings, employment space, retail and community facilities, schools and green spaces to the Parish.	To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date.	Make the suggested minor modification.
13	p14, para 2.4.4	N/A	The Overstone Leys (see Evidence Base for Master plan map) development covers some 120 hectares and is proposed to deliver 2,000 new	To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date.	Make the suggested minor modification.

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
			homes, of which 25% <u>15%</u> will be affordable.		
14	p15, para 2.4.11	N/A	The Overstone Green (see Evidence Base for Masterplan map) development covers some 86.2 hectares and is proposed to deliver 1,500 1600 new homes, of which 25 <u>35</u> % will be affordable, <u>subject to viability testing during the application process</u> .	To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date.	Make the suggested minor modification.
15	p16, para 2.5.2	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 70 bed <u>70 bed</u> care home. 	To correct a grammatical error.	Make the suggested minor modification.
16	P18, para 3.2.4	N/A	This would benefit the whole community and could create better links to residential areas with additional cycle <u>paths</u> , and footpaths and safer routes to school.	To correct a grammatical error.	Make the suggested minor modification.
17	p20, para 3.3.2	N/A	A) Control development to avoid further physical coalescence with the urban area of Northampton and its identified Sustainable Urban	To correct a grammatical error.	Make the suggested minor modification.

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
			<p>Extensions in order to protect the Countryside and maintain the distinct separate physical character and linear design of Overstone.</p> <p>B) Provide and improve services and amenities in tandem with any new housing development, to do so in a manner that respects the character and setting of the village.</p> <p>C) Where appropriate, the provision of smaller and more affordable dwellings will be encouraged, especially for young families, young people and for older residents who wish to downsize to more manageable accommodation.</p>		
18	p21, para 4.2.2	N/A	Planning permission for the Overstone Leys and Overstone Green developments (NNSUE) has either been granted or is	To correct a grammatical error and to ensure it is accurate and	Make the suggested minor modification.

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
			in the process of being determined with DDC by <u>West Northamptonshire Council</u> .	factually up to date.	
19	p21, para 4.3.1	N/A	It is recognised the NDP will need to encourage the provision of improved facilities to allow safer walking and cycling around the village. Schemes to reduce vehicle speeds on the main road within the village, including traffic calming initiatives; and, off-road car parking where this can be achieved, without adverse impacts <u>adversely impacting</u> on the character of streets in the village.	To correct a grammatical error.	Make the suggested minor modification.
20	p22, Policy 1 (para 7.14 of Examiner's report)	Revise policy as follows: <u>Development proposals should</u> To promote sustainable transport, healthier lifestyles, improve air quality and minimise traffic congestion and on-street parking. in	N/A	To correct a grammatical error.	Amend policy 1 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p>Overstone, all development <u>As appropriate to their scale, nature and location development proposals</u> should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) encourage better access to and increased use of public transport; (b) allow for good travel choices; (c) ensure good connectivity through the village, and between the village and Northampton North SUE for walking and cycling; (d) create and enhance 'safe routes to schools' schemes where appropriate; (e) be located where services and facilities can be easily accessed by walking, cycling and public transport; 			

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
21	p24, Policy 2 (para 7.16 of Examiner's report)	<p>Revise policy as follows:</p> <p>1. All new <u>As appropriate to their scale, nature and location</u> development proposals within the NDP area should seek to achieve the highest standards of sustainable design and, in particular, demonstrate in proposals how layout, design, construction and operation:</p> <p>a) would maximise environmental performance, energy efficiency and a changing climate over its lifetime;</p> <p>b) makes use of sustainably sourced materials;</p> <p>c) minimises resource demand and the generation of waste and maximises opportunities for reuse and recycling;</p>	N/A	To enable the policy to be applied proportionately. To ensure that the policy has the clarity required by the NPPF.	Amend policy 2 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p>d) maximises water efficiency and promotes best practice in sustainable drainage systems;</p> <p>e) adapting existing buildings and sites where this is compatible with their form, character and appearance;</p> <p>2. maximises retention of the Green infrastructures in and around Overstone, to include:</p> <p>a) Urban Cooling;</p> <p>b) Flood Relief;</p> <p>c) Improving Air Quality;</p> <p>2) <u>Proposals which would retain or enhance existing green infrastructure networks and take the opportunity to fill gaps</u></p>			

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<u>in and around Overstone will be supported.</u>			
22	p25, para 4.4.1 (para 7.19 of Examiner's report)	Add the following at end of paragraph 4.4.1: <u>Policy 3 has been designed to have regard to the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations. In particular Regulation 122 requires that any developer contributions should be necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms; directly related to the development; and fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development.</u>	N/A	To ensure that developer contributions directly link to the development and the required infrastructure to meet the Regulation 122 of the CIL Regulations.	Amend paragraph 4.4.1 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation
23	p25, Policy 3 (para 7.19 of Examiner's report)	Revise policy as follows: 1. <u>All new As appropriate to their scale, nature and location developments will be expected to</u>	N/A	To enable the policy to be applied proportionately. To ensure that developer	Amend policy 3 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p><u>proposals should</u> make provision for, or contribution towards, relevant local community infrastructure where appropriate.</p> <p>The following local community projects have been identified as <u>priorities for the enhancement of community facilities in the neighbourhood area:</u></p> <p>a) • <u>The</u> enhancement of footways within the Parish with improved surfaces and lighting;</p> <p>b) • <u>The</u> improvement of facilities at the existing playing field;</p>		<p>contributions directly link to the development and the required infrastructure to meet the Regulation 122 of the CIL Regulations. To secure a direct connection between the priority areas and proceeds of developer contributions.</p>	

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p>c) • The implementation of improved traffic management and parking within the village;</p> <p>d) • The enhancement to community facilities such as the Village Hall and Playing Fields;</p> <p>e) • Improvements to communications infrastructure.</p> <p><u>Developer contributions should be focused on these identified priority areas where it is practicable to do so.</u></p>			
24	p26, para 4.4.5 (para 7.22 of Examiner's report)	Add the following at end of paragraph 4.4.5:	N/A	To clarify that the facilities listed in the policy are	Amend paragraph 4.4.5 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p><u>The facilities listed above are the most significant existing facilities. As the Northampton North SUE develops within the Plan period other community facilities may become available in the parish. In these circumstances the policy has been designed to apply to any community facilities which may exist at any time within the Plan period.</u></p>		existing facilities and that more may be added in future.	
25	p26, Policy 4 (para 7.22 of Examiner's report)	<p>Revise policy as follows:</p> <p>1. Existing local services and community facilities should be retained <u>Development proposals which would result either in the loss of local services and community facilities or which would detract from their attractiveness and accessibility will not be</u></p>	N/A	To ensure the policy relates to the development management process.	Amend policy 4 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p><u>supported</u> unless it can be demonstrated that:</p> <p>a) The site has been actively marketed for a period of 12 months for the existing or an alternative type of service or facility that would benefit the local community and there is no realistic prospect of the existing use continuing for commercial and or operational reasons; or</p> <p>b) There are sufficient alternative equivalent accessible services in the village, or the existing service or facility can be provided in an alternative option or on a different site in the Parish and suitable arrangement are put in place to secure this.</p>			

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
26	p28, para 4.5.9 (para 7.24 of Examiner's report)	<p>Revise paragraph 4.5.9 as follows:</p> <p>4.5.9. The 'Village Confines' is distinct from the parish boundary and is not administrative; rather it is a planning boundary used to differentiate the built-up area from open countryside for the purpose of determining planning applications. Daventry Local Plan Saved Policy HS22 currently lists Overstone as a Restricted Infill Village.</p>	N/A	Reference to emerging strategic plan policies no longer needed in supporting text.	Amend paragraph 4.5.9 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation.
27	p29, para 4.5.11 (para 7.24 of Examiner's report)	<p>Revise paragraph 4.5.11 as follows:</p> <p>4.5.11. Restricting housing development to that required by the parish will ensure that: the assessed needs of the community are met; there is no significant encroachment into open countryside; there is</p>	N/A	To clarify the position of Overstone in the settlement hierarchy defined by the Settlements and Countryside Local Plan.	Amend paragraph 4.5.11 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation.

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p>protection for the valued village character and heritage; and there is a limit to the worsening of the transport and parking issues that the village experiences. This is in line with WNJCS Policy R1 <u>and takes account of the identification of Overstone as one of a series of Other Villages in the Settlements and Countryside Local Plan.</u></p>			
28	p29, Policy 5 (para 7.24 of Examiner's report)	<p>Revise policy as follows:</p> <p>1. Development shall be focused within the Village Confines of Overstone as shown on the Village Confines Map (Figure 5) Policies Map and Figure 5. Development proposals will be supported in these locations subject to compliance with</p>	N/A	<p>To ensure that the policy has the clarity required by the NPPF by providing connections between it and strategic policies. To clarify that mitigation measure should</p>	<p>Amend policy 5 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation.</p>

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p>other policies in the development plan.</p> <p>2. Development outside the Village Confines is classified as being in open countryside and only proposals that satisfy policy RA3 part B of the Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (Part 2) will be acceptable.</p> <p><u>Land outside the Village Confines is identified open countryside where development will be controlled in accordance with national and local planning policies. Only development proposals which meet the provision of Policy RA3 and RA6 of the Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (Part 2) will be</u></p>		be applied as applicable.	

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p><u>supported outside of the Village Confines.</u></p> <p>3. All development proposals should aim to mitigate impacts on important views as defined in figure 8 and on the policies maps, landscape, character and heritage assets and their settings. Where it is practicable to do so, development proposals should mitigate any impacts which they may have on important views (as defined in figure 8 and on the Policies Map), landscape features and heritage assets and their settings.</p>			
29	p32, Policy 6 (para 7.28 of Examiner's report)	<p>Revise policy as follows:</p> <p>1. <u>As proportionate to their scale, nature and</u></p>	N/A	To enable the policy to be applied proportionately.	Amend policy 6 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation.

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p><u>location</u> development proposals within the confines should meet the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Being of an appropriate scale and character to the existing settlement; b) Not affecting <u>affect</u> open land which is of particular significance to the form and character of the village; c) Should respond to site features such as views into or out of the area, trees and landscape, existing buildings; d) Should mitigate the potential impact on local biodiversity; e) Preserve <u>Conserve</u> or enhance <u>the significance of</u> historic buildings, structures and their settings and areas of historic or 		To improve the readability of the policy criteria and to have regard to national policy.	

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p>environmental importance;</p> <p>f) Should comprise the sympathetic renovation or conversion of existing buildings for residential purposes and the proposal is in keeping with the character and quality of the village environment;</p> <p>g) Protect residential amenity;</p> <p>h) To <u>Should</u> preserve and maintain Overstone's distinct and historical linear character;</p> <p>i) Promoting <u>Promote</u> sustainable development that equally addresses economic, social and environmental issues;</p> <p>j) Residential conversions and extensions to <u>should</u> reflect the style and</p>			

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p>materials used in the original dwelling;</p> <p>k) Should Demonstrate high quality design, style and materials, reflect local distinctiveness, and seek to incorporate local design features evident in buildings in the surrounding area.</p>			
30	p33, policy 7 (para 7.30 of Examiner's report)	<p>Revise policy as follows:</p> <p>1. To meet identified local housing needs, all housing development will be expected to provide a mix and range of house types and tenures. Housing to meet the needs of the elderly, disabled and vulnerable will be supported. Affordable housing will be expected to be provided in line with policy H2 of the WNJCS.</p>	N/A	To ensure that the policy meets the basic conditions. To remove duplication of WNJCS policy. The allocation of affordable housing to specific groups is a separate non-land use matter.	Amend policy 7 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation and re-number policy accordingly.

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p>2. The amount and type of affordable homes to be delivered will be based on the latest Housing Needs Survey.</p> <p>3. All proposals for new housing for five or more dwellings should provide 40% affordable housing as required by Policy H2 of the West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy.</p> <p>4. Affordable homes should be provided as an integral part of the development and their design should be tenure blind;</p> <p>5. Development will be expected to contribute to the provision of affordable homes</p>			

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p>including the needs of older people and vulnerable groups.</p> <p>6. In exceptional circumstances, off site provision and/or commuted payments in lieu of onsite provision may be supported where this would offer an equivalent or enhanced provision of affordable housing.</p>			
31	p33, para 4.5.17	N/A	<p>West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy Policy H2 – Affordable Housing provides the percentage requirements and site size thresholds for affordable housing. In regard to the Rural Areas of the <u>Daventry District Area of West Northamptonshire</u> the proportion of affordable housing will be 40% for developments for five or more dwellings.</p>	To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date.	Make the suggested minor modification.

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
32	p33, para 4.5.17 (para 7.30 of Examiner's report)	<p>Add the following to the end of para 4.5.17:</p> <p><u>Policy 7 of this Plan has been designed to complement the policy approach already included in the WNJCS.</u></p>	N/A	To ensure that the policy meets the basic conditions. To remove duplication of WNJCS policy.	Amend paragraph 4.5.17 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation.
33	p34, paras 4.6.4, 4.6.5, 4.6.6 (para 7.35 of the Examiner's report)	<p>Delete paras 4.6.4 and 4.6.6; and replace para 4.6.5 as follows:</p> <p>4.6.4. OPC has a role in assessing the need for local open space, and in response to the very high level of importance the community gives to local green spaces and open spaces, this ONDP defines two types of green space.</p> <p>4.6.4. OPC has a role in assessing the need for local open space, and in response to the very high level of importance the community gives to local green spaces and open spaces, this ONDP defines two types of green space.</p> <p>4.6.5. The first is 'Local Green Space' (protected through designation in the Part 2 Local Plan) and the</p>	N/A	Proposed local open spaces are privately owned with restricted public access. Plan should not safeguard open spaces which are not publicly accessible. Provides clarity on how the existing Local Green Spaces were designated in the development plan.	<p>Delete paragraphs 4.6.4 and 4.6.6 and amend paragraph 4.6.5 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation. Re-number subsequent paragraphs accordingly.</p> <p>Delete figure 7 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation.</p>

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p>second is 'Local Open Space' (designated through this neighbourhood plan). Defined Local Green Space and Open Space with public access are important for residential and open space amenity use, for health and well-being, and the role they play as part of the character of the village.</p> <p><u>Three Local Green Spaces were designated in the Settlements and Countryside Local Plan. These are detailed in Figure 6 and shown for reference purposes on the Policies Map. Defined Local Green Spaces with public access are important for residential and open space amenity use, for health and well-being, and the role they play as part of the character of the village.</u></p>			

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p>4.6.6. — As per DDC's Local Plan policy provisions for Local Green Space, the following sites are designated as Local Green Spaces:</p> <p>Delete Figure 7</p>			
34	p35, Policy 8 (para 7.34 of Examiner's report)	<p>Delete the policy as follows:</p> <p>The Local open spaces listed below and identified on the Policies Map will be preserved and wherever possible, enhanced:</p> <p>a. — LOS 1 — Church Lane/Beech Avenue;</p> <p>b. — LOS 2 — Track to Court Farm and Overstone Park;</p>	N/A	Proposed local open spaces are privately owned with restricted public access. Plan should not safeguard open spaces which are not publicly accessible.	<p>Delete policy 8 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation and re-number subsequent policies accordingly.</p> <p>Make consequential change to the policies map.</p>
35	p38, para 4.6.10 (para 7.37 of Examiner's report)	<p>Revise paragraph 4.6.10 as follows:</p> <p>4.6.10. Taking into account the importance (given through the consultation) to</p>	N/A	To ensure that the supporting text has the clarity required by the NPPF.	Amend paragraph 4.6.10 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation.

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p><u>important views, the other attributes of the parish's landscape and historical character, then the most valued, sensitive and important views, are set out on the Policies Map(s) and/or detailed in Figure 8. Taking into account the importance of the parish's landscape and historical character which emerged through the consultation process the most valued, sensitive and important views are shown on the Policies Map(s) and detailed in Figure 8. Policy 9 sets out the approach to this important matter. It provides the opportunity for appropriate mitigation to be incorporated into development proposals where there is a degree of harm to the identified views.</u></p>			

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p><u>In addition to this specific approach proposed developments should have regard to the details included Daventry Landscape Study – Landscape Character Appraisal (and in particular LCT4 Rolling Ironstone Valley Slopes) insofar as its findings may inform the details of development proposals.</u></p>			
36	p38, policy 9 (para 7.37 of Examiner's report)	<p>Revise policy as follows:</p> <p>To ensure the landscape character and sensitive views are preserved and wherever possible enhanced, any development will be required to:</p> <p>1. Preserve and wherever possible enhance the protected sensitive</p>	N/A	To ensure that the policy has the clarity required by the NPPF.	<p>Amend policy 9 (now policy 8) in accordance with Examiner's recommendation with the exception of important view V6.</p> <p>Delete important view V6 as follows and make consequential</p>

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p>views listed in figure 8 and identified on the Policies Map as follows: <u>The Plan identifies the following important views (and as shown on Figure 8):</u></p> <p>a.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • V1 - View from the public footpath behind Overstone Primary School to Cow Pasture Spinney; <p>b.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • V2 - View from the Track behind Lavender Hill Farm; <p>c.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • V3 - View from Pytchley Gates towards Overstone Hall; <p>d.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • V4 - View from the 'Car Boot field' 			<p>changes to figure 8, and the policies map:</p> <p>f.</p> <p>• V6 - Views from the track straddling Court Farm;</p>

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p>through Overstone Park towards Overstone Hall;</p> <p>e.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • V5 - Views from and to St Nicholas church along Church Lane and through Overstone Park towards Overstone Hall; <p>f.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • V6 - Views from the track straddling Court Farm; <p>2. Mitigate any adverse impact which could involve design and layout, materials and landscaping;</p> <p>3. Have regard to the Daventry Landscape Study – Landscape Character Appraisal in</p>			

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p>particular LCT4 Rolling Ironstone Valley Slopes;</p> <p><u>As appropriate to their scale, nature and location development proposals should preserve and wherever practicable enhance affected views and mitigate any adverse impacts on the view concerned by sensitive design and layout, materials and landscaping. Development proposals which would have an unacceptable impact on an important view will not be supported.</u></p>			
37	p38, para 4.6.12	N/A	96% of questionnaire respondents agree that numerous areas around Overstone including <u>the important views listed 'a to f'</u> in the above 'Policy 8', should be protected from development.	Consequential changes resulting from deletion of important view V6 and re-numbering of	Make the suggested minor modification.

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
			56% also stated that 'impact on significant views' is what worries them most about further development within the Parish.	policy resulting from deletion of policy 8.	
38	p39, para 4.7 (title)	N/A	Non-Designated and Designated Heritage Assets <u>Designated and Non-Designated Heritage Assets</u>	To correct a grammatical error.	Make the suggested minor modification.
39	p41-42, paras 4.7.1, 4.7.2, 4.7.3, 4.7.4 (para 7.41 of Examiner's report)	Revise paragraphs 4.7.1, 4.7.2, 4.7.3, 4.7.4 as follows: 4.7.1. Overstone Parish Council recognises the importance of preserving and enhancing the district's heritage assets, both designated and non-designated. <u>The NPPE defines Heritage Assets as follows:</u> <u>"A building, monument, site, place, area or landscape identified as having a degree of significance meriting consideration in planning</u>	N/A	To improve the readability of the supporting text in accordance with comments from the Council.	Amend paragraphs 4.7.1, 4.7.2, 4.7.3 and 4.7.4 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation and re-number supporting text accordingly.

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p><u>decisions, because of its heritage interest. It includes designated heritage assets and assets identified by the local planning authority (including local listing)."</u> (Annex 2, NPPF, February 2019)</p> <p>Both designated and non-designated heritage assets and their settings contribute much towards the character of the village. There is a strong desire to conserve and enhance these in recognition of their individual and cumulative significance. The designated and non-designated heritage assets are shown on the Policies Maps Appendices 1, 2 and 3 and are available in detail in the Evidence Base.</p>			

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p>Government guidance (contained in the National Planning Policy Framework) makes it clear that the effect of a proposal on the significance of a non-designated heritage asset should be taken into account in determining the application.</p> <p>4.7.2. The NPPF defines Heritage Assets as follows: 4.7.2.1. "A building, monument, site, place, area or landscape identified as having a degree of significance meriting consideration in planning decisions, because of its heritage interest. It includes designated heritage assets and assets identified by the local planning authority (including local listing)." (Annex 2, NPPF, February 2019)</p>			

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p>4.7.3. Types of non-designated assets relevant to this plan include buildings, walls and landscapes and have been defined using the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aesthetic Appeal • Design Character • Architectural Merit • Scenic Value • Significance <p>4.7.4. Both designated and non-designated heritage assets and their settings contribute much towards the character of the village. There is a strong desire to conserve and enhance these in recognition of their individual and cumulative significance. The designated and non-designated heritage assets are shown on the Policies Maps</p>			

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		Appendices 1, 2 and 3 and are available in detail in the Evidence Base.			
40	p42, policy 10 (para 7.40 of Examiner's report)	Revise policy as follows: 1. Proposals for designated and non-designated heritage assets should demonstrate a proper understanding of: a) The significance of the asset and the contribution that its setting makes to that significance; b) The contribution the asset makes to the character, appearance and local distinctiveness of Overstone; c) The potential for alteration, redevelopment or demolition to affect significance;	N/A	To ensure that the first and third parts have a closer functional relationship. To have regard to national policy.	Amend policy 10 (now policy 9) in accordance with Examiner's recommendation.

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p>2. On sites where there is a likelihood of archaeological remains, this may require pre-application evaluation and investigation to establish the nature, extent and significance of the remains.</p> <p><u>2. Proposals for change to designated and non-designated heritage assets should demonstrate that:</u></p> <p><u>In particular development proposals which would involve alterations to designated and non-designated heritage assets should demonstrate that:</u></p> <p>a) The scheme has been designed in order to avoid harm to significance, or that any harm can be effectively mitigated</p>			

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p>or, where harm is unavoidable, that it is the minimum necessary and is justified</p> <p>b) Opportunities have been taken, where appropriate, to enhance or better reveal the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets.</p> <p>3. Proposals for change to designated and non-designated heritage assets should demonstrate that:</p> <p>a) The scheme has been designed in order to avoid harm to significance, or that any harm can be effectively mitigated or, where harm is unavoidable,</p>			

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p>that it is the minimum necessary and is justified</p> <p>b) Opportunities have been taken, where appropriate, to enhance or better reveal the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets.</p> <p>3. <u>On sites where there is a likelihood of archaeological remains, this may require pre-application evaluation and investigation to establish the nature, extent and significance of the remains.</u></p> <p><u>Development proposals which would affect sites where there is a likelihood of archaeological remains should:</u></p>			

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>undertake pre-application evaluation and investigation to establish the nature, extent and significance of any remains,</u> • <u>submit an appropriate desk-based assessment and, where necessary, a field evaluation; and</u> • <u>incorporate any detailed mitigation measures within the scheme.</u> 			
41	p44, policy 11 (para 7.43 of Examiner's report)	<p>Revise policy as follows:</p> <p>1. Development proposals should not damage or adversely affect sites designated for their biodiversity importance, or Priority Habitats,</p>	N/A	To ensure that the policy has the clarity required by the NPPF.	Amend policy 10 (now policy 9) in accordance with Examiner's recommendation

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p>Species of Principal Importance (species of conservation concern) or their key habitats, or other statutorily protected species-, † including:</p> <p>a. Local Wildlife Sites (LWS) as designated <u>shown</u> on the Policies Maps (Appendix 1 -3)</p> <p>b. Potential Wildlife Sites (PWS) as designated <u>shown</u> on the Policies Maps (Appendix 1 -3)</p> <p>2. New developments should provide for a measurable net gain in biodiversity.</p>			
42	p44, para 4.9.2 (para 7.45 of Examiner's report)	<p>Add the following at the end of paragraph 4.9.2:</p> <p><u>The development of the Northampton</u></p>	N/A	To acknowledge that the SUE has the ability to broaden the employment	Amend paragraph 4.9.2 in accordance with Examiner's recommendation.

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p><u>North SUE will deliver some employment land which may generate economic benefits for the wider parish.</u></p>		base of the parish.	
43	p45, Policy 12 (para 7.45 of Examiner's report)	<p>Revise policy as follows:</p> <p>1. Development proposals will be supported that allow existing and suitable new, small-scale businesses to thrive in Overstone Parish. <u>Development proposals for the alterations to existing employment uses and for the development of suitable new, small-scale businesses will be supported.</u></p> <p>2. Support will be given to proposals <u>In particular support will be given to development proposals:</u></p>	N/A	To ensure that the policy has the clarity required by the NPPF by highlighting the specific support given to the identified employment uses.	Amend policy 12 (now policy 11) in accordance with Examiner's recommendation

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
		<p>a. that provide premises suitable for use by start-up or 'incubator' businesses;</p> <p>b. for developing new businesses or expanding existing businesses, provided they are appropriate to their rural setting and reflect the character of the village, and/or the countryside within the parish;</p> <p>c. that seek to minimise additional local traffic movements and contribute to traffic efficiency and safety;</p> <p>d. that protects the amenity of neighbouring uses;</p>			
44	p46, para 5.1.1	N/A	The Steering Group is concerned to ensure that this ONDP and is actively monitored to access its effectiveness over the next 10 years.	To correct a grammatical error.	Make the suggested minor modification.

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
45	p46, para 5.1.2	N/A	<p>Regard should be taken to the forthcoming unitary West Northamptonshire Council which is due to replace Daventry District Council in 2021. Commencement of the ONDP started in advance of the West Northamptonshire unitary council formation and the Parish Council and neighbourhood plan steering group will engage with the process as appropriate.</p>	To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date.	Make the suggested minor modification.
46	p46, para 5.1.5	N/A	<p>In 2024 there will be a thorough five year review of progress by a Steering Group which has a wider community base. The purpose of this review will be to guide the Parish Council in its stewardship of the ONDP, and to consider the need for proposing a review of, or amendment to the Plan, to Daventry District Council (or its successor) <u>West Northamptonshire Council.</u></p>	To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date.	Make the suggested minor modification.

Recommendation ID number	Page/Para/Policy reference in Submission Version of NDP and para in Examiner's Report	Examiner's Recommendation	Details of further editorial changes	Reason	Action to be taken
47	P58, Appendix 4 title	N/A	Appendix 1: List of Designated Assets <u>List of Designated and Non-Designated Assets</u>	To correct a grammatical error.	Make the suggested minor modification.
48	Back cover	N/A	Submission <u>Referendum</u> Version	To ensure it is accurate and factually up to date.	Make the suggested minor modification.

Appendix 2: Response received to consultation to delete important view V6

Respondent	Summary of comments	Response
Mr P Murphy	Fully support proposed changes that would remove V6 (and LOS2) from the Overstone Neighbourhood Plan. It was assumed that once LOS2 was removed, V6 would also be removed. It is hoped that lessons will be learnt from this.	Comments noted.